## CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—SE

1. livelihood, but a diversified manutacturing center under progressive and capable leadership. It suffered intensely during the tiepression of the 1930's and has fought back to reestablish itself, actif today it is one of the nerve centers of New England.

The city of Manchester is not alone. of course, in the concern it expresses. in secent weeks I have received a great camy letters from individuals in all walks of life expressing the hope that on Senate will take steps to make the act meet responsive to the needs of our domestic industries. The type of rehad that is needed should be available when injury is detected, and not, as is the case with our New England textile industry, for example after 205 plants have been closed and 140,000 jobs lost since 1949.

Mr. President, as do all other thinking people, I recognize the need for expailding our Toreign markets and engaging in mutually profitable trade with other countries; but this must be accomidished in such a way that American firms are not forced out of business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the request of the Senator from New Hampshire?

There being no objection, the letter was ordered to be printed in the RECORD. as follows:

> CITY OF MANCHESTER, N. H., OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK June 20, 1958.

The Honorable H. Burkes Brings. United States Senator, Schale Building, Wathington, D. C.

FEAR SIR: At a meeting of the Board of stayon and Aidermen held June 3, 1958, a irriging discussion was entered into relative to the proposed reciprocal trade bill now pending before Congress and United States Berate, which has the endorsement of the Fresident Eiselihower administration.

At the conclusion of the debate on this officerion, it was unanimously voted that this thaird to on record as attenuously opposed " this proposed legislation, and that the bow Bumpshire delegation in both, the stouse of Representatives and the United iddies Senate, be advised of this action.

Respectfully yours. M. J. QUINN City Clark.

## QUALITY EDUCATION

Mr. SYMINGTON. Mr. President, in recem days and weeks, while we have been hearing much about statchood and Lebanon, two great speeches have been made which did not attract sufficient attention.

The lirst was that of Allen Dulles before the United States Chamber of Comanence inst Adril 28.

The second, more recent, was that of 'aliner Hoyt, publisher of the Denver Post before the National Citizens Counof for Better Schools at Timberline Lodge Moufit Hood, Oreg., on June 19. it is entitled "Quality Education."

Seldom have I read a more thoughtprovoking andress. I would hope that Mr Hoyt would agree to one change; that he propose a National Academy of

Mr President, most of what we talk about in committees of the Senate and on the Senate floor, has to do with the "short pull." From the standpoint of the "long pull," and what is necessary for the security of our country, I hope that every Senator will take the time to read Mr. Hoyt's outstanding speech.

I ask unanimous consent to have the address by Palmer Hoyt printed in the RECORD at this point as a part of my remarks.

There being no objection, the address was ordered to be printed in the RECORD. as follows:

QUALITY EDUCATION

"The shadow of the Russian satellite is surprisingly large and persistent for so small an object." This observation was made by Dr. Henry Smythe before the American Nu-clear Society last October.

That shadow shocked the American public as nothing has ever shocked it before,

For the first time since America has been the world's No. 1 nation, our supremacy was threatened. Sputnik was particularly a shock too, because our jeaders had not advised us of the international facts of life, Mostly they had not advised us what the Russians were up to because they didn't know themselves.

October 4, 1957, will forever rank as one of the great days in our history. It was a Rio Van Winkle day. It was the day we woke up.

Shacking da was the appearance of Sputnik I, it was probably the best thing that ever happened to us.

The American dream of absolute superiorily in all things technical, mechanical, and scientific, was rudely shattered.

Conversely, the launching of sputnik was not so good from the purely Russian stand-Someone hald recently, and I agree, that history will record that Sputnik I will go down as Ehrushchev's No. 1 mistake.

Without sputnik, no one would have believed this real story of Russia's scientific

When sputnik appeared in our skies, it was to mest of the world, including many Americans, a sign that the United States of America was no longer the world's No. 1

Naturally when an event of such catacytsmle importance occurs, the second guessers have a field day.

Who, they asked, was to binme?

The Government?

The President? The Pentagon?

Rivalry between the services, or educa-

The answer was almost unanimous—it was education.

Once education was established as the goat, the great debate began between the. education for life" or "progressive education" boys and the advocates of the three R's or "basic education."

The "three R's" boys, probably a majority among laymen, blamed it all on Dewey, even persons who weren't clear on whether it was Adm. George Dewey, politician Tom Dewey, or educator John Dewey. Anyway, they figured somebody "dood it," so it must have been Dewey.

To say criticism was rife would be a masterpiece of understatement.

The little red schoolhouse again became the symbol of learning. Complaints were made about the stress of modern educa-

Oriticisms were heard like this: Learning instead of merely a National bittle work in school. They were not coaxed; they were harmered. Spelling, writing, and arithmetic we not electives, and you had to learn.

"he these more foftunate times, elementary education has become in many places a sort of vaudeville show. The child must be kept amused and learns what he pleases. Many teachers soom the old-fashioned rudiments, and it seems to be regarded as a misfortune and a crime for a child to learn to read and spell by the old methods. As a result of all the improvements, there is a race of gifted pupils more or less ignorant of the once-prised elements of an ordinary education."

This statement sounds as though it were, made yesterday by one of our very modern critics. It is actually a quotation from the New York Sun, printed on October 5, 1902. anti reprinted in Harper's magazine for May

of this year.

Thus, to continue the argument as to whether we should "educate for life" or get back to the little red schoolhouse is as silly as to presuppose that the only science the Russians are interested in is rocketry. Actually the Russians are interested in excelling us in everything, scientific or otherwise, and the quicker we get that through our "nudnicks," the better off we are going to be. If we don't, we're apt to be off this pleasant sphere we call earth more or less personnels. permanently.

However, before we leave the Dewey boys completely, I want to tell you, particularly you fellow laymen, that it was John Dewey. all right, who got us into trouble.

Strangely enough, he got us into trouble because we didn't follow the basic tenets of his great wisdom.

So'I want to go right back to John Dewey for guidance in our state of clear and present danger.

I am indebted to Dr. Samuel B. Gould. president of Antioch College, for clarifica-tion of John Dewey's aims.

Dr. Gould suggested in a recent speech

that John Dewey's plea for linking education to usefulness in society was anything

but an attack on intellectualism. Dr. Gould points out, and I think with great insight. that John Dewey never advocated the kind of superficial and ridiculous courses and methods developed by some of his so-called followers.

I would like to call your attention to this quote from Dr. John Dewey:

"Education, if it is really education, should send (youth) forth with some unified sense of the kind of world in which they live; the directions in which it is moving. and the part they have to play in it. The schools should have given them .cme sort of intellectual and moral key to their con-temporary world. \* \*. \* As for methods, the prime need of every person at present is departy to think; the power to see prob-

lpins, to relate facts to them." This quotation from John Dewey could well be made our guiding light in this, our

light for survival. What better can we do than send youth forth with "some unified sense of the kind of world in which they live, the direction in which it is moving, and the part they have to play in it"?

Citizens who have that kind of sense won't tolerate a government that talks loosely, an witness some early remarks about sputnik.

"We aren't engaging in any celestial basketball games."

So the Russians threw a hunk of iron into the sky. What's so great about that?

No properly informed citizens tolerate a government that permits its three armed services to engage in costly rivalry and ailows each in its own way to pursue plans to shoot the moon.

Nor would citizens with the wisdom John Dewey refers to be happy with a government that shows no sense of urgency in the light of the 2.900-pound Sputnik No. 3 that rooms our skies as we talk here tonight.